Nomenclatural errors in the Thraustochytridales (Heterokonta/Staminipila), especially with regard to the type species of *Schizochytrium*
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The Phycomycetes, or algal fungi, have been known to science for over 150 years (Sparrow 1960), beginning with the description of *Labyrinthula vitellina* Cienkowski (Cienkowski 1865). Molecular studies have shown that the "true" fungi are more related to animals (e.g., Baldauf & Palmer 1993) whilst the "aquatic fungi" were heterokonts or stramenopiles (e.g. Gunderson *et al.* 1987, Dick 2001). Recent molecular phylogenetic studies have revealed considerable diversity masked by morphological similarity (e.g., Marchan *et al.* 2017, Pan *et al.* 2017). Numerous names have been published, but nomenclatural errors are common, i.e., errors contrary to the International Code of Nomenclature (ICN) rules. The main purpose of this paper is to correct names that are now, or were previously, assigned to the genus “Schizochytrium” (Goldstein & Belsky 1964).

The *Thraustochytriaceae* is anchored by the nomenclatural type for *Thraustochytrium proliferum* Sparrow (1936), a valid and legitimate species name. Bennett *et al.* (2017) placed the following eight genera in the *Thraustochytriaceae*: *Aurantiochytrium*, *Japonochytrium*, *Monorhizochryrium*, *Parietochytrium*, *Schizochytrium*, *Sicyoidochytrium*, *Thraustochytrium* and *Ulkenia*. *Althornia* was placed in the *Althornidiaceae* and together, these two families constitute the Thraustochytriales (Bennett *et al.* 2017). *Japonochytrium* was the second valid and legitimate genus name, with the type species *Japonochytrium marinum* Kobayashi & Ookubo (Kobayashi & Ookubo 1953). Goldstein & Belsky (1964) proposed the name “Schizochytrium”, but they failed to designate a nomenclatural type for “Schizochytrium aggregatum”. Accordingly, “Schizochytrium” and “Schizochytrium aggregatum” are not valid names (ICN Art. 40.1, Melbourne Code, McNeill *et al.* 2012). Specifically, Art. 40.1 states “Publication on or after 1 January 1958 of the name of a new taxon of the rank of genus or below is valid only when the type of the name is indicated (see Arts 7 - 10; but see Art. H.9 Note 1 for the names of certain hybrids).” Goldstein & Belsky (1964) explicitly stated that they were using the International Code of Botanical Nomenclature (ICBN). A few years later Gaertner (1981) also overlooked Art. 40.1 when he proposed “Schizochytrium minutum”, and the name is also invalid.

Raghu-Kumar (1988a), when naming *Schizochytrium octosporum* Raghu-Kumar 1988a, specifically designated a holotype specimen: he stated “Holotypus: Figs 1-13, ex cultura 3283 (15) ex Rosfjord, Norway, June, 1980”. That is, the holotype is Figs 1-13, and the holotype is based upon study of culture strain 3283 isolated from Rosfjord, Norway. In 1988, an illustration was allowed as the nomenclatural type (see Arts 40.4, 40.5, Melbourne Code, McNeill *et al.* 2012), and because Raghu-Kumar's figures (Raghu-Kumar 1988, figs 1-13 represent a single plate based upon a single-cell culture isolate, this qualifies as a gathering or illustration (Art. 8.1, Art. 8.2). If we examine Art. 40.6, it states in the last sentence “But in the case of the name of a monotypic (as defined in Art. 36.6) new genus or subdivision of a genus with the simultaneously published name of a new species, indication of the type of the species name is sufficient”. Thus, Raghu-Kumar (1988a) inadvertently validated the name *Schizochytrium* when he described *Schizochytrium octosporum* Raghu-Kumar 1988a. That is, because the name "Schizochytrium" was not validly published and thus it was not recognized by the ICN, Raghu-Kumar could use the generic name.

Not realizing that Raghu-Kumar (1988a) had validly published the name *Schizochytrium*, Dick (2001) designated a nomenclatural type for two additional names: *S. aggregatum* S.Goldstein &

In 2007, Yokoyama & Honda (in Yokoyama et al. 2007) proposed the name Oblongichytrium; however, the authors explicitly included Schizochytrium octosporum Raghu-Kumar 1988a as a species within Oblongichytrium, and they designated Schizochytrium minutum Gaertner 1981 ex M.W.Dick 2001 as the type species for Oblongichytrium. This rendered Oblongichytrium superfluous and thus illegitimate because one cannot include the nomenclatural type of a valid and legitimate genus (i.e. Schizochytrium Raghu-Kumar) within a newly proposed genus that has a different nomenclatural type (ICN Art. 11.3). As a consequence, while the name Oblongichytrium was validly published, it was an illegitimate name and it is to be rejected (Art. 52.1). When FioRito et al. (2016) described Oblongichytrium porteri FioRito & Leander, they did not realize that Oblongichytrium was illegitimate and they chose an illustration as the holotype (Art. 40.5 ICN). Oblongichytrium porteri is not available (=invalid) under the International Code of Zoological Nomenclature (ICZN) because there is no explicit fixation of a holotype (ICZN Art. 16.4.1) and no statement of depository (Art. 15.4.2). If we assume that the authors had technical difficulties preserving a biological specimen, then we can accept their holotype designation (ICZN Art. 40.5). Here, the name is combined based upon ICN Art. 55.1.

Schizochytrium porteri (FioRito & Leander) R.A.Andersen & Ganuza comb. nov.

Schizochytrium octosporum was described based upon material collected from Rosfjord, Norway, in the 1980s. The culture no longer exists (Raghu-Kumar pers. comm.), and there are no thraustochytrid cultures that are from the same geographic area. As a consequence, there are no known DNA sequences for S. octosporum. Even though Yokoyama et al. (2007) were in error nomenclaturally, one may choose to accept their taxonomic arguments, i.e. using different strains to represent the species (Honda et al. 1999, Yokoyama et al. 2007). Similarly, we can exclude S. limacinum (now included in Aurantiochytrium, Yokoyama & Honda 2007), and we can tentatively place S. porteri in Schizochytrium on molecular grounds (FioRito et al. 2016). This would tentatively limit Schizochytrium to four species in a taxonomic sense: S. octosporum Raghu-Kumar 1988a (type species), S. minutum Gaertner ex M.W.Dick, Thraustochytrium multirudimentale Goldstein ex M.W.Dick 2001 (see Yokoyama et al. 2007) and S. porteri (FioRito & Leander) R.A.Andersen & Ganuza, comb. nov. (see FioRito et al. 2016). However, these taxa cannot be placed in the family Oblongichytriaceae Cavalier-Smith 2012 (in Anderson & Cavalier-Smith 2112); an illegitimate generic name cannot be used as the basis for a family name unless conserved (ICN Art. 18.3). Furthermore, the family name must be typified using one of the included generic names in the family (ICN Art. 10.6). Because Thraustochytrium is the basis for the Thraustochytriaceae, the only available generic name for the new family is Schizochytrium. Here, we propose the name:

Family Schizochytriaceae R.A.Andersen & Ganuza, fam. nov.
Description: Swimming cells biflagellate, heterokont, somewhat elongate; ectoplasmic nets well developed; resting stages not known.
Type species: Schizochytrium octosporum Raghu-Kumar 1988a, Transactions of the British Mycological Society., 90: 273, figs 1-13 (holotype).

The family Schizochytriaceae is placed in the order Thraustochytriales, or, if one follows the classification of Bennett et al. (2017), the order Labyrinthulales.
Conversely, Yokoyama & Honda (in Yokoyama et al. 2007) did validly and legitimately publish the name *Aurantiochytrium*, and the genus may possibly contain at least two species in a taxonomic sense: *Aurantiochytrium limacinum* (D.Honda & Ookochi) Yokoyama & D.Honda 2007 (type species) and *A. mangrovei* (Raghu-Kumar) Yokoyama & D.Honda 2007. Both are validly published as species of *Schizochytrium*, and both were transferred to the new genus. Yokoyama et al. (2007a) provided the incorrect year when citing the basionym for *S. mangrovei* Raghu-Kumar 1988b, but this error is automatically corrected (see Arts. 41.6, 41.8) and did not invalidate the name. More significantly, the *S. mangrovei* combination was based on culture isolate RCC893 from Hong Kong. The original material for *S. mangrovei* was from a mangrove in India (Kumar 1988b) and this organism is likely a different species from the organism in the Hong Kong culture strain RCC893. Yokoyama et al. (2007a) provided no morphological data that demonstrated the identity of the Hong Kong strain RCC893 with *S. mangrovei*. Therefore, the inclusion of *Schizochytrium mangrovei* in *Aurantiochytrium* is questionable. We suggest retaining the name in the genus *Schizochytrium* until further information is available.

The name *Botryochytrium* Yokoyama, Salleh & D.Honda 2007 was proposed as a separate genus, and the nomenclatural type was specified by the basionym *Ulkenia radiata* A.Gaertner (Gaertner 1977; Yokoyama et al. 2007). This is an interesting nomenclatural problem because initially *Ulkenia radiata* Gaertner was not validly published (no nomenclatural type was designated; ICN Art. 40.1) (Gaertner 1977). However, M.W.Dick (2001) validated the name *Ulkenia radiata* A.Gaertner 1977 ex M.W.Dick 2001, and this validation preceded the proposal of the name *Botryochytrium* (Yokoyama et al. 2007) (Art. 41.8). The same argument applies to *Parietichytrium* Yokoyama, Salleh & D.Honda 2007 and to *Sicyoidochytrium* Yokoyama, Salleh & D.Honda 2007 because the type species for these new genera were not validly published until validated by Dick (2001).

Returning to *Ulkenia*, a complex nomenclatural picture is apparent. Originally, Ulken (1965) proposed the name "Thraustochytrium visurgense" but no nomenclatural type was provided, and the name is thus not valid. Gaertner (1977) proposed the new generic name "Ulkenia" but he used the invalid "Thraustochytrium visurgense" as the type; therefore "Ulkenia" was invalid at that time. Gaertner (1977) included *Thraustochytrium amoeboides* Bahnweg & Sparrow 1974 and "Ulkenia sarkariana" as an additional species of "Ulkenia", but because Gaertner (1977) included more than one species, the name was not inadvertently validated (ICN Art. 40.6, last sentence, see above). Dick (2001) validated the name *Thraustochytrium visurgense* Ulken ex M.W.Dick, and in turn this validated the generic name *Ulkenia* A.Gaertner ex M.W.Dick and Gaertner's combination *Ulkenia visurgensis* (Ulken) A.Gaertner ex M.W.Dick.

The taxonomic position of *Pyrrhosorus marinus* Juel (Juel 1901) is somewhat uncertain, but we here include it in the *Thraustochytriaceae* so that future workers do not overlook this taxon. The name was valid even though no holotype was designated; a holotype was not required prior to 1958 (ICN Art. 40.1). As far as we know, no lectotype or other nomenclatural type has been designated. Therefore, we designate a nomenclatural type as follows:


The name Thraustochytridiales was first proposed validly by Sparrow (1973), who provided a Latin description. There is some controversy regarding the family name *Thraustochytriaceae*. Sparrow (1942) simply listed the name without a description or diagnosis, and the name is consequently invalid. The following year, Sparrow (1943) provided a description in English. However, because the ICBN at the time required a description or diagnosis in Latin for fungal names (ICN Art. 39.1),
this name was also invalid as a fungal name. The fungal family name was subsequently validated by Cejp (1959) when he provided a Latin description in a taxonomic key (Cejp 1959: 419).

Interestingly, if one were to consider the *Thraustochytriaceae* as algae, then the name *Thraustochytriaceae* Sparrow 1943 would be valid, i.e., algal names were not required to have Latin descriptions prior to 1958 (ICN Art. 44.1). The genus *Thaustochytrium* was divided into four sections (Charkavarty 1979b).

To aid those scientists who may be less familiar with nomenclatural rules, we have assembled below the names of Thraustochytridiales that are valid and legitimate. It should be stressed that this is a list of names, not a taxonomic classification, and thus some names appear more than once. It is difficult or impossible to provide a full taxonomic revision in a short note as original cultures have been lost, names have been assigned to DNA sequences even though the DNA originated far from the type localities, and incomplete life stages exist for some taxa. Our main aim is to clarify nomenclatural issues, and we hope that others address potential taxonomic issues in the future.

Please note that names with "ex" preceding an author name follow Art. 46.10. For example, it is correct to cite the name *Schizochytrium aggregatum* Goldstein & Belsky ex M.W.Dick or *Schizochytrium aggregatum* M.W.Dick, but the name *Schizochytrium aggregatum* S.Goldstein & Belsky is incorrect because it refers to an invalid binary designation.

Order Thraustochytridiales Sparrow 1973

Family *Althorinidiaceae* E.G.B.Jones & Alderman 1972

*Althornia* E.G.B.Jones & Alderman 1971

* A. crouchii E.G.B.Jones & Alderman 1971 - type species

Family *Schizochytriaceae* R.A.Andersen & Ganuza fam. nov.

*Schizochytrium* Raghu-Kumar 1988a

* S. aggregatum* S.Goldstein & Belsky ex M.W.Dick 2001

* S. limacinum* D.Honda & Yokochi 1998

* S. mangrovei* Raghu-Kumar 1988b

* S. minutum* A.Gaertner ex M.W.Dick 2001

* S. octosporum* Raghu-Kumar 1988a - type species

* S. porteri* (FioRito & Leander) R.A.Andersen & Ganuza

Family *Thraustochytriaceae* Sparrow 1943 (see text for an alternative)

*Aurantiochytrium* Yokoyama & D.Honda 2007

* A. limacinum* (D.Honda & Yokochi) Yokoyama & D.Honda 2007 - type species

* A. mangrovei* (Raghu-Kumar) Yokoyama & D.Honda 2007

*Botryochytrium* Yokoyama, Salleh & D.Honda 2007

* B. radium* (A.Gaertner ex M.W.Dick) Yokoyama & D.Honda 2007 - type species


* E. marisalba* N.J.Artemchuk ex M.W.Dick 2001 - type species

* E. sinorifica* N.J.Artemchuk ex M.W.Dick 2001

*Japonochytrium* Kobayashi & Ookudo 1953

* J. marinum* Kobashisi & Ookudo 1953 - type species

*Monorhizochytrium* Doi & D.Honda 2017

* M. globosum* (Kobashisi & Ookudo) Doi & D.Honda 2017 - type species

*Parietichytrium* Yokoyama, Salleh & D.Honda 2007b

* P. sarkarianum* (A.Gaertner ex M.W.Dick) Yokoyama & D.Honda 2007 - type species

*Pyrrhosorus* Juel 1901
Pyrrhosorus marinus Juel 1901 - type species
Sicyoidochytrium Yokoyama, Salleh & D.Honda 2007b
   S. minuta (Raghu-Kumar ex M.W.Dick) Yokoyama & D.Honda 2007b - type species
Thraustochytrium Sparrow 1936
   T. aggregatum Ulken ex M.W.Dick 2001
   T. amoeboides Bahnweg & Sparrow 1974
   T. antarcticum Bahnweg & Sparrow 1974
   T. aureum S.Goldstein ex M.W.Dick 2001
   T. benticola Raghu-Kumar ex M.W.Dick 2001
   T. gaertnerii Ru.Jain, Raghu-Kumar, Bongiorni & Aggarwal (in Bongiorni et al. 2005)
   T. globosum Kobayashi & Ookudo 1953
   T. kerguelense Bahnweg & Sparrow 1974
   T. kinnei A.Gaertner ex M.W.Dick 2001
   T. motivum S.Goldstein 1963b ex M.W.Dick 2001
   T. multirudimentale Goldstein 1963b ex M.W.Dick 2001
   T. proliferum Sparrow 1936 - type
   T. roseum S.Goldstein 1963c
   T. rossii Bahnweg & Sparrow 1974
   T. striatum Joa.Schneider ex M.W.Dick 2001
   T. visurgense Ulken ex M.W.Dick 2001
Ulkenia A.Gaertner ex M.W.Dick 2001
   U. minuta Raghu-Kumar ex M.W.Dick 2001
   U. profunda A.Gaertner ex M.W.Dick 2001
   U. radiata A.Gaertner ex M.W.Dick 2001
   U. sarkaniana A.Gaertner ex M.W.Dick 2001

While we did not examine the Order Labyrinthulales, we noticed that the name Aplanochytrium kerguelensis Bahnweg & Sparrow 1972 was validly published, and the original publication stated that the holotype was deposited in MICH. However, when inquiring about the holotype, MICH could not find the holotype (Michael Wynne, pers. comm.). Therefore, we designate a lectotype as follows:

Aplanochytrium kerguelensis Bahnweg & Sparrow 1972
Lectotype here designated: Fig. 1 in Bahnweg & Sparrow 1972 Archiv für Mikrobiologie 81: page 46.

Invalid binary designations
The following binary designations are invalid. We recommend that these names be validated using biological material for the nomenclatural type rather than illustrations (as permitted by ICN Art. 40.5) to facilitate further taxonomic studies.

“Thraustochytrium arudimentale” - see Artemchuk (1972); no nomenclatural type.
“Thraustochytrium indicum” - see Charkravarty (1979a); no nomenclatural type.
“Thraustochytrium pachydermum” - see Scholz (1958); no nomenclatural type.
“Thanatostrea polymorpha” - see Franc & Arvy (1969); no nomenclatural type.
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